GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

: Case No.

FURTHER PROCESSING & CP : 07-23

AMENDMENT

•

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY

----:

Monday, October 22, 2007

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 07-23 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY HOOD, Chairman
GREGORY N. JEFFRIES, Vice Chairman
CURTIS L. ETHERLY, JR., Commissioner
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, Commissioner
(AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON C. SCHELLIN, Secretary DONNA HANOUSEK, Zoning Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JOEL LAWSON STEPHEN MORDFIN

D.C. OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL:

MARY NAGELHOUT

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing meeting held on Monday, October 22,

A G E N D A

Anthony J. Hood, Chairperson	4
Z.C. CASE NO. 07-23 Further Processing & CP Amendment Georgetown University Maureen Dwyer, Pillsbury Winthrop Anthony Brangman, Architect	9
WITNESSES Bernard Muir	14 18 42 46
OFFICE OF PLANNING REPORT Stephen Mordfin	73
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REPORT REPORT OF ANC-2E Ed Solomon	78 79
	82 84 86 89 103
Association of Georgetown VOTE BY COMMISSION TO APPROVE	112

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 6:32 p.m 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. 4 This is a public hearing of the 5 Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia 6 for Monday, October 22nd, 2007. 7 My name is Anthony J. Hood, and 8 9 joining me this evening are well, our Vice Chairman Greg Jeffries will be joining us 10 11 shortly. Also we have Commissioner Etherly and 12 Commissioner Turnbull. We are also joined by the Office 13 Zoning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin, Ms. 14 Donna Hanousek and from the Office of Attorney 15 General Ms. Nagelhout. And the Office of 16 Planning will introduce themselves at the 17 appropriate time. 18 19 This proceeding is being recorded 20 by a court reporter and is also Webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from 21

any disruptive noises or actions

hearing room.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The subject of this evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 07-23. This is a request by Georgetown University for special exception relief pursuant to 210 and 3104.1 of the Zoning Regulations.

Notice of today's hearing was published in the <u>D.C. Register</u> on September 7, 2007 and copies of the announcement are available to my left on the wall bin near the door.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR, follows: Preliminary 3117, as matters. Applicant's case. Report of the Office of Planning, report of other government agencies, if by Advisory Neighborhood any, report Commission 2-E, organizations and persons in support, organizations and persons in opposition. Rebuttal and closing by the applicant.

The following time constraints

maintained in this will be meeting. 1 Applicant will have 15 minutes but we we'll 2 3 adjust that if needed, organizations will have five minutes and individuals three minutes. 4 The Commission intends to adhere 5 to these time limits as strictly as possible. 6 7 The Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations, if 8 9 necessary, and notes that no time shall be ceded. 10 11 All persons appearing before the 12 Commission are to fill out two witness cards. These cards are located on my left on the 13 table near the door. 14 15 Upon coming forward to the Commission please give both cards to the 16 reporter sitting to my right before taking a 17 seat at the table. 18 19 When presenting information to the 20 Commission, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home 21

When you are finished speaking

please turn your microphone off so that your 1 microphone is no longer picking up sound or 2 3 background noise. The decision of the Commission in 4 this case must be based exclusively on the 5 public record. The Commission requests that 6 7 persons present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or 8 9 at any other time. The staff will be available 10 11 throughout the hearing to discuss procedural questions. 12 Please turn off all beepers and 13 cell phones at this time so as not to disrupt 14 15 the proceedings. Would all individuals wishing to 16 testify please rise to take the oath. 17 Schellin, would you please administer the 18 19 oath. SCHELLIN: 20 SECRETARY Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony 21 22 you'll give in this evening's proceeding will

be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but 1 2. the truth. 3 (WITNESSES SWORN) Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. At this time the Commission will consider 6 7 preliminary matters, does the staff have any preliminary matters. 8 9 SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Just to advise that the staff has received the 10 11 applicant's maintenance affidavit and it's in order and also that we did receive a report 12 from DDOT on Thursday the 18th. 13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 14 Okay. Ms. Dwyer, 15 let me just say that we have reviewed the case thoroughly. I would ask that you basically hit 16 the highlights like the campus plan amendment 17 that you're asking for and maybe talk a little 18 19 bit about the new science center, the multi-20 sports facility, athletic training facility, how we're going to compose the density to 21

these areas, so just talk about the highlights

and I think that should be sufficient. I'm 1 seeing nods from my colleagues so I think that 2. 3 should be sufficient. Thank you. You may begin. 4 5 MS. DWYER: All right. I'm happy to do that. For the record, Maureen Dwyer 6 7 with Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw Pittman and we me is Dave Avitable. He is also a Georgetown 8 9 graduate so he's very excited about this case. And I wanted to welcome Mr. Etherly to the 10 11 Zoning Commission. He's probably very familiar to you from your experience at the 12 BZA, but this is an august body and I'm sure 13 you'll enjoy your time here. 14 15 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Thank you 16 very much for that welcome and I'd also take the opportunity to throw a Georgetown kudos 17 out being a graduate of Georgetown Law Center. 18 19 So thank you. 20 MS. DWYER: Great. Wonderful. We're very pleased to be here this evening to 21 22 request special exception relief for three

further processing applications, a new science center, a new athletic training facility and modifications to the multi-sport facility. A some of you may recall, the multi-sport facility was previously approved by the Commission in 2005 along with the business school.

Since the athletic training facility was not specifically identified in the 2000 campus plan, we are requesting an amendment to the plan and the reallocation of FAR from other proposed projects on campus. And the two projects where that FAR is coming from include the multi-sport facility which is being reduced in size and a building on the medical center portion of the campus.

With these applications there is no increase in the number of students or faculty staff, there's no change to the number of parking spaces on the campus and there is no change or increase to the overall campus FAR. The goal with all of these projects is

2.

to provide more academic and athletic space 1 for the existing number of students permitted 2. 3 under the campus plan. We are pleased to be here this 4 evening with the support of the Office of 5 6 Planning and the D.C. Department and 7 Transportation and also the support of Advisory Neighborhood Commission 2-E. 8 9 addition, have in the In we audience several students who are speaking 10 11 tonight in support of these applications. All three of these projects have 12 also been reviewed by the Old Georgetown Board 13 and the Commission of Fine Arts and both the 14 15 science building and the multi-sport 16 facility have received concept approval. We are required to go back to the 17 Old Georgetown Board on the athletic training 18

Old Georgetown Board on the athletic training facility and, for that reason, we are going to request this evening the flexibility to modify the design of that in order to address the Old Georgetown Board's concerns without having to

19

20

21

come back before you.

The design changes would not in any way increase the height or density of the building and, as the witnesses will explain, this is an extremely important project to the university's athletic program and it's on a very fast timetable.

In the written materials we have filed we have demonstrated that all three projects satisfy the requirements for the requested relief. In the interest of time as I indicated we are not making the full presentation we had planned for this evening.

However, since two of these projects are projects that involve the athletic program at the university, we would like to call the representatives of the athletic program, including Bernard Muir and there are three coaches who be will here this evening, Coach Urick, who is coach for the men's lacrosse program, Coach Fried who is coach for the women's lacrosse program and

1	Coach Thompson who you all know as the coach
2	for the men's basketball program. And we'd
3	like to ask them to come forward and present
4	their brief testimony, primarily focused on
5	why these two projects are so important to the
6	university and the lengths that we have gone
7	to make sure that it's reviewed by the
8	community, by all the city agencies and that
9	we come before you tonight with that support.
10	So at this point I would like to
11	ask Bernard Muir to come forward and he has
12	not been sworn in.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We're going to
14	have to swear him. Thank you.
15	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Do you
16	solemnly swear or affirm the testimony you'll
17	give in this evening's proceeding will be the
18	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
19	truth?
20	MR. MUIR: I do.
0.1	
21	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Thank you.

and just hit that button.

MR. MUIR: Good evening. Chairman Hood, thank you very much for allowing us to take the time to talk about the Georgetown athletic project. I am the Director of Athletics and with me are a few of our coaches who will also speak.

Bottom line is we are excited about an opportunity to service 700 student athletes. We continually talk about what athletics brings to a broader community; we have 27 sports that we represent at Georgetown. Obviously our flagship is our men's basketball program and one that we're deeply excited about and especially after the run to the Final Four last year.

This is what we call the largest leadership development program on our campus. Where else would you have 700 student athletes that vie to compete on the Georgetown community representing Georgetown on a national basis within the NCA structure--

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Could you just do me a favor and pull your mic right up. We really want to capture everything you're saying.

MR. MUIR: Super. We have 700 student athletes that compete on a national basis within the NCA structure and we tout often that we have the largest leadership development program on our campus.

would your Where else student athletes get to compete, learn to win as well as learn to fail, and get up the next day and continue to move forward. And also we feel like, based on their experience, it's an experience that will last a lifetime and the friendship that they make along with their teammates and a sense of building a strong sense of community is really important and one that we tout from the time that we recruit them until the time that they leave. And we always talk about it's not four-year а experience, really four-year we're а

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

experience; that they're always tied and connected to Georgetown and we think that's pretty important.

As far as facilities are concerned we use that as a major recruiting tool. We primarily house out of McDonough Gym. Well McDonough Gym is 50 plus years old. When it was built it was built it was built with nine sports in mind and, as I just mentioned to you, now we have 27 sports and so we have kids on top of kids and they share locker rooms which is not the ideal Division I model that you see around the country.

When people are looking at other institutions and the kids are primary consumers, when they're looking to decide on where they're going to attend school they obviously can see a number of different things at a number of different locations. And so for us, enhancing our facilities is pretty important in order to stay competitive within the overall inter-collegiate landscape.

So I just say how important both 1 the athletic training facility as well as our 2 3 multi-sport facility would be to enhance those facilities in order for us to stay competitive 4 within the NCA structure. 5 And I can just tell you right now 6 7 we don't get a chance to when a student athlete is looking at our campus, we don't get 8 9 a chance to celebrate a weight room or locker room or a sports medicine area. We're 10 11 working out of a primary space at McDonough Gym which is pretty, as 12 Ι before, pretty limited in its offerings and 13 And so that's why this is really 14 15 important for us to continue to grow and stay 16 competitive within the inter-collegiate landscape. 17 All right. Let me 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 19 just ask this question. How do you spell your 20 last name? It's M-u-i-r. 21 MR. MUIR: 22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

MS. DWYER: All right. I'll ask
Coach Urick to come up and speak for a few
moments.

MR. URICK: Thank you very much.

Dave Urick, men's lacrosse coach at

Georgetown. This is definitely a first for me

and I'm going to get this on my resume as soon

as I get back to the office. I appreciate the

opportunity to be here.

I arrived at Georgetown University ago as the head coach of men's The university made a commitment at lacrosse. that time to men's lacrosse to compete at what they refer to as the national level. defined that as being able to compete for a national championship in that sport as well as to be able to compete against what we consider institutions in the admissions peer our process, those would be schools like the Ivy League schools and Duke and Virginia and North Carolina, Army, Navy and Notre Dame, schools of that nature.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

When I arrived at Georgetown very quickly after arriving I learned a very valuable lesson from Coach Thompson, the other coach Thompson the one that's a little bit bigger, a little grouchier at times, and obviously older than John the III.

what he impressed upon But early in my tenure here was that when trying attract a student athlete to attend Georgetown University, we essentially could quarantee them two things. One would be the opportunity to receive one of the best undergraduate educations that's available and the caveat there is what we they do with that opportunity is up to them. And the second thing that we could quarantee them would be the opportunity to play with and against the best players in the country. And, again, what they do with that opportunity is up to them. And that's a lesson I learned when I first arrived.

And I think over a long period of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

time, and I've been there 18 years, we've addressed a number of the key issues that allow us to become competitive, whether it be in admissions or scholarship, coaching staff, support staff, staff salaries, those types of things.

The multi-sport facility was a major step forward for us but it still is not in its final form that we need and we feel that is absolutely necessary to be competitive at this level, simply bleachers that match and rest rooms, permanent types of rest rooms and concession stands and obviously the issue here this evening is are the lights as well.

But more basic, and more fundamental, to what we need for our student athletes its basic fundamental need is to address the locker situation, the room equipment room situation, the training room facilities to support our student athletes, particularly the locker room facility. Right now we have teams that share a locker room,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

therefore those athletic teams that are not in season, like I walk into the men's room on the first floor of McDonough Gym on afternoon this fall and I see a lot of baseball players changing in the men's room because they share a locker room with I believe the soccer team.

Now our men's lacrosse program, our kids come from their dorms or from their apartments or from wherever they live and they don't have a locker room to use in the fall. We share the locker room not only with the track team and the football team as all three of us are using the same locker room. Right now our men's football team and our track team are in the same locker room. After the football season is over we will share that same locker room with our men's track team. It's a tight situation to say the least and it's been that way for the 18 years that I've been here.

So this proposed athletic training facility is not a project that's going to

2.

catapult us past any of the people that we 1 overlap with and that we compete with in the 2. 3 admissions process and on the athletic field. This is just an attempt to try to catch up and 4 provide a basic need for our student athletes. 5 This will definitely just help us 6 7 to catch up. And I think it's obvious that for 8 9 the time I've been at Georgetown we rely heavily as an athletic department on the 10 11 relative success of our men's basketball program and that's obviously the foundation of 12 our athletic department. And I think we need 13 maintain the 14 to whatever we can to 15 competitive ability of that program

definitely lacking

rest of our athletic teams are.

And this multi-sport complex, I go by there on the way home in the evening,

that's going to help them to continue to be

competitive down the road, and that's going to

have a direct bearing on how successful the

in a

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	seven, 7:30 or eight o'clock and there are a
2	number of students out there, not athletes
3	just regular students, out there on that
4	multi-sport facility throwing frisbees and
5	playing rugby and doing any number of things.
6	They're using the residual lights that come
7	off the dorms but they're still out there,
8	well after the sun has set and it's just a
9	basic need that our students as well as our
10	student athletes will need to go forward.
11	Thank you very much.
12	MS. DWYER: Thank you Coach
13	Urick. I've been advised that Coach Thompson
14	is running late so I'm just going to finish up
15	with my introductory statement and then all of
16	the witnesses are available to answer
17	questions. And I just wanted to
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: He doesn't have
19	to be nervous. We don't have Mr. Parsons so
20	we don't have a five to scrimmage his five.
21	MS. DWYER: I wanted to point out
22	that on page 14 of our statement we go through

the requirements for the special exception and variance relief and the primary reason for the special exception and variance for the roof structure on the science building accommodate the design of the building and also to accommodate the equipment with that building that is going to enable the university to achieve silver lead certification and, as I said earlier, this is a design that has been approved in concept by the Old Georgetown Board and the Commission of Fine Arts, all three of these projects are located on the interior of the campus, no impact in terms of noise, traffic, number of students and I believe that our statement sets forth all of the requirements that we meet. So at this point what I'd like to do is call the witnesses up and have them here to answer any questions you may have and then I have a closing statement which I can save until the very end of the hearing.

Okay.

CHAIRMAN HOOD:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Ms. Dwyer,

1	let me see how it goes. Let's do this. You
2	had two witnesses I believe under proffer as
3	experts?
4	MS. DWYER: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Brangman?
6	MS. DWYER: Mr. Brangman who's
7	the architect for the university and Lou Slade
8	of Gross Slade and both have previously
9	appeared before you and been qualified as
10	experts in their respective fields.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They have
12	appeared before us before and I think we did
13	have a general consensus. Okay.
14	MS. DWYER: All right. Thank
15	you.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. What we
17	can do now is if there are any questions, I
18	have a few but I will not go first. I have
19	one or two actually and my colleagues if you
20	have any questions?
21	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Very
22	briefly, Mr. Chair, thank you very much.

Thank much for the you very testimony and of course it goes without saying thank you for the proud tradition of athletics at Georgetown. You serve the city well, you I of course will be remiss if I do us proud. didn't lament the loss of the outdoor tennis courts or I should at least inquire as to what will be happening to those outdoor tennis courts.

colleagues As mу know, Ι oftentimes as a tennis player, perhaps the only one on the panel, have to always ask what happens to my beloved tennis courts. all seriousness, because that's really beside the point, on the issue of competitiveness and as I think as Coach Urick indicated, catching up with other institutions that are competing for the same stellar athletes, stellar student athletes, as you indicated, and I just wanted to be sure to put a pin in it, this isn't necessarily about, to an extent I almost think of the old adage of the nuclear arms race, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	know, we try to consistently keep up with the
2	Jones's and as the Jones's continue to outfit
3	themselves even better, we want to do the
4	same.
5	That's not necessarily your
6	objective here. As you indicated, it's simply
7	to bring your facilities up to a par so to
8	speak that you feel you are well below at this
9	particular point. Would that be an accurate
10	statement?
11	MR. URICK: We're so far in the
12	arms right now, we're using slingshots and
13	then the other guys are using nuclear weapons.
14	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okay.
15	Okay.
16	MR. URICK: That's just an
17	analogy.
18	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okay. But
19	a well chosen one.
20	MR. MUIR: It's a good one. We're
21	not even in the same so to speak. There is an
22	arms race in college athletics but we really

don't even provide what we would deem the 1 essentials for our programs at this point and 2. 3 so this is just a chance to at least get on the same plane as those others. They're able 4 5 to show when a student athlete visits, weight room, a locker room, and we're not able 6 7 to do just those bare minimums. 8 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okay. 9 Without wanting to necessarily pin you into a corner on this particular question, do you 10 11 have a sense of how far along this will equip you for in terms of the future? Should this 12 go forward this puts you on a good footing for 13 Next 20 years perhaps? 14 the next decade? 15 MR. MUIR: I think it's beyond 16 that. It's probably the next 30 to 40 years. We're always going to be looking at ways to 17 enhance the program but this certainly gets us 18 19 on firm footing for at least the next 30 to 40 20 years. 21 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okav. And 22 finally thank you very much Mr. Chair again

1	for the opportunity to ask the questions
2	finally just because I threw it out there
3	again from a substantive standpoint it has
4	very little if anything to do with our
5	conversation but what will be happening to the
6	tennis function as it relates to the campus?
7	MR. MUIR: Alan, do you want to
8	take that one?
9	MR. BRANGMAN: My name is Alan
10	Brangman, I'm the university architect at
11	Georgetown University. Commissioner Etherly,
12	we have three opportunities we think for a
13	relocation of the tennis courts that are
14	currently right next to McDonough. One
15	location if you look at the site plan here and
16	I guess I'm going to have to stay here because
17	I can't walk with the mic.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: We have
19	a microphone here if you want to get close.
20	MR. BRANGMAN: Thank you
21	Commissioner Jeffries. We have three
22	opportunities that we think will accommodate

our need for not all eight courts but at least six of those courts.

One location is here on what is left of Lot C. You may recall that this used to be an entire parking lot at one point in time before it became the Southwest Quad. So we can envision up to six courts fitting in that location.

Another location is on the top of the Leavey Center which is the Leavey Esplanade. There are a couple of users on campus however who don't feel that that's the ideal location for them but we could get six courts there.

The third location actually, and this is not correct because right now North Kehoe Field is a soccer field that runs eastwest in that direction. The track is no longer on Yates and the Yates field runs from about here south. We think that we can get six to eight courts between North Kehoe and Kehoe Field as a third location. So those

three options are the three locations we're 1 2 looking at. We have not determined as of yet 3 which one we're going to use but hopefully it would be one of those three. 4 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Excellent 5 and I thank my colleagues for accommodating my 6 7 self interested exploration of the tennis function. 8 MR. MUIR: 9 If I could make one Both men's and women's tennis 10 other comment. 11 a part of those 27 programs so it's important for us as well too to have ample 12 tennis courts and six is the ideal for varsity 13 tennis. 14 And T 15 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: 16 appreciate that. And as you indicated, it's very easy oftentimes for the glare and the 17 glitter if you will of the preeminent sports 18 19 programs, be they basketball or football,

sometimes to overshadow those of us who either

because of physical stature or lack thereof

find ourselves pursuing other alternative

20

21

1	means of recreation. So thank you Mr. Chair
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank
3	you Mr. Etherly. Commissioner Turnbull?
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you
5	Mr. Chair. First of all I guess the
6	Commission is very pleased to see you're going
7	for lead certification. We have been very
8	interested in trying to foster that with other
9	applicants and other institutions that have
10	come before us.
11	I wonder if we could just turn to
12	the science center. The relief you wanted on
13	the roof
14	MR. BRANGMAN: Thank you
15	Commissioner Turnbull. There are two things
16	that we are asking for relief on with respect
17	to the roof. One is the height of the
18	mechanical penthouse which typically in the
19	District that height is 18 foot six inches.
20	In your package you've got this
21	exhibit and you'll notice under the pitch of
22	the roof under the gable of the roof, in what

is typically, this is the top floor, typically what is the penthouse area, there's some equipment drawn in there. Those are all of the heat ento wheels which are heat exchangers which are now in today's HVAC system really efficient state of the art for energy You can't get these less than equipment. about 20 feet 6 inches.

On top of that you've got all of the duct work that typically comes out of the top of those things which shoot up and then run parallel through the attic if you will of the penthouse.

Then we also have exhausts for the fume hoods that are on the research floors of the building itself which are up in that area as well, so there's a lot of duct work up in there, there's a lot of mechanical equipment up in there and that's what pushes the height of the roof up.

In addition, we also wanted to try and keep some semblance to the roof structure

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of the new business school which is to the west of our site here and you may recall as well from the Southwest Quadrangle project we also have very high roofs on that project as well and for the same reason, to house all that mechanical equipment that's up in the mechanical penthouses.

The other issue related to the setback; typically again on buildings that are built on District streets, you have a setback from a parapet or from the outside wall of the building so that when you're on the street level looking up at the building they don't appear to be as high as they in fact actually are.

And in this situation, again because we were looking for a configuration that would be somewhat similar to the business school, we feel that it's not as important to have that setback on this particular building also because it's interior to the campus and not visible from anywhere outside of the

2.

1 campus.

The overall design I think, and I
probably should have left that other board up,
let me grab that, here it is, we think the
overall design and the image of that end gable
in conjunction with the end gable of the
business school to the west of the new
quadrangle, makes a rather handsome and
attractive composition for the two buildings
in the quadrangle in the newly created
quadrangle in the center of the campus.
COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Let me
just ask you, as the low building meets
Leavey
MR. BRANGMAN: Yes. In here?
COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
You've built it up, you're covering up what
the first three floors of Leavey I guess to
basically have the arcade level show.
MR. BRANGMAN: Right. What's
being proposed here is if you go back to what

the existing conditions are for the Leavey

Center, you have and it actually shows on this as well, you've got exposed parking garage which is down in this area here. And with the business school now being built over here, which is in that location there, we've started the creation of an outdoor patio or terrace whereby you can come out of the business school onto the terrace and enter directly into the main center, main level of the Leavey Center.

What we're proposing to do with the new science building is continue that connection across and actually raise grade from what is about 1/14, 1/15 down here all the way up to about 1/41 up in this area. So for the first time you'll be able to actually walk from the lower portion of the campus 1/14 here up on grade till you get up to that esplanade, or excuse me, that terrace level of Leavey and then enter Leavey off of the connection along there out of the science building into Leavey which is shown somewhat

2.

1	over in this area, or again up the stair,
2	outdoor stair into the Leavey Center at that
3	point.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And
5	that's a green roof up there on top?
6	MR. BRANGMAN: Well a portion of
7	this is a green roof, let me grab another
8	plan, it shows on the site plan here too, here
9	we go, in plan you have some green roof over
10	here, most of that terrace level however is
11	paving. And then this is all actually terra
12	firma down here below there and then this is
13	teaching gardens which we're doing in native
14	plantings which will be part of the biology
15	teaching gardens up in the gardens up in that
16	area as well.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I think
18	it's a good use of the site. I think it
19	really fits in well.
20	MR. BRANGMAN: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Any
22	other questions. Commissioner Jeffries?
	l

VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: 1 A very 2 quick question and I'm not certain who I 3 should direct this too but I note here that we're not seeing an increase in the space per 4 5 this amendment because Ι quess this originally, some of the space is going to be 6 7 taken from what was a medical health care? MS. DWYER: That's correct. 8 So 9 that building will be built to a smaller size than was contemplated under the last campus 10 11 plan. VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: So 12 there will be a medical building but it will 13 be smaller? 14 Right. 15 MS. DWYER: But again the university's current campus plan which was 16 just, the final order after two remands came 17 out in August seven years after its initial 18 19 approval, they're going to be coming back in 20 a very short period of time because the plan expires December 31, 2010. 21 So some of those 22 buildings that are being reduced in size, all

1	those things may change with the next campus
2	plan.
3	But right now the university will
4	not proceed forward with that building unless
5	it's at the reduced size in order to
6	accommodate these projects.
7	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: I'm
8	curious and I'm just dealing with the whole
9	notion of campus planning as it relates to how
10	much time, was it two or three years ago that
11	there was a determination that you needed to
12	get a larger recreation and sports facility?
13	I mean how much time in terms of planning did
14	it take for you to determine that you needed
15	to
16	MS. DWYER: I'll ask Alan
17	Brangman to address that.
18	MR. BRANGMAN: Commissioner
19	Etherly
20	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES:
21	Jeffries.
22	MR. BRANGMAN: Excuse me,
l	

Jeffries. That actually came up as an issue for us when we first started designing the multi-sport facility. We started on that back in about 2002. We made decisions which is why initially the Commission saw the larger building, we had locker rooms and training facilities within that building, and then made a decision that because that building wasn't large enough to accommodate all of our 27 sports, that it made more sense to look at a larger facility located as you now know, proposed to be located on the tennis courts which could accommodate all of the teams, which we then went back and said okay make multi-sport smaller and put all of those like facilities into one building. And as Ms. Dwyer said, then it became an issue of where we get the FAR from and we chose from a couple of projects that we

don't think that we'll be able to accomplish within the next three years.

> VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: T mean T

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	think there's clearly an expectation from this
2	Commission that these campus plans are
3	breathing organisms, I mean they are not to be
4	fixed but I sometimes do have some concerns,
5	and we've seen a number of universities come
6	before the Commission, just making certain
7	that, you know, it's the proper planning so
8	that when you're coming back to the Commission
9	you're covering as much ground as possible and
10	you're doing the right work. That's it.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. BRANGMAN: Understood. Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Dwyer,
15	I see that we've been joined by Coach
16	Thompson.
17	MS. DWYER: Yes. Would you like
18	him to present or wait until you're
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We want him or
20	anyone else who wants to testify, we've got to
21	swear them in.
22	MS. DWYER: All right. If

1	anyone's going to
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If you could just
3	stand, anyone who's going to testify if you
4	can stand.
5	(WITNESSES SWORN)
6	MS. DWYER: Thank you. And would
7	you like Coach Thompson to give his testimony
8	now or wait until you
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Coach Thompson go
10	ahead and if you want to bring your player up
11	you can do that at the same time. However you
12	want to do it.
13	MR. THOMPSON: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: How did I know
15	that was the player?
16	(Laughter)
17	MR. THOMPSON: First, I want to
18	apologize for being late. This is Roy
19	Hibbert, a current senior on our team and one
20	of our captains and we rushed over from
21	practice.
22	And as we go through, as I go

through this process, and there's a lot of people in this room that have put a lot more and thought and planning into facility, but just from where I sit for us to in many ways remain in the Big East, remain competitive in the Big East we need, and I'll selfishly just speak now about men's basketball, we need more space. We need our own space.

facilities, The current the current situation is very similar to and probably worse in many ways than most high schools, not most but a lot of high schools in And as we go through them we plan this area. our practices and we plan our use of the gym, you always hear well we can't get in there because women's basketball is in there and volley ball's in there and you may be able to work around that situation but then all of a sudden hold on now, there's a concert in the gym and so you can't get in there.

And so we go through, just an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

example, we go through the first part of the year where we bring in kids, recruiting visits and there's this convocation and at the end of the year there's graduation. And so there's so many periods, there's so much time where we can't get on the court.

And that's not even addressing and I'm not sure, I missed what Coach Urick talked about, just the whole issues. We're fortunate enough that we have a locker room but most of the teams don't have a locker room year round and the ability to keep up with our peers because we are currently in terms of resources significantly behind probably every time in the Big East.

And this may not be an issue to the Commission but the expectations of our program are not at the bottom and so our expectations of what we should do and what we should accomplish are at the top. But the resources are non-existent and, you know, just little things, the access and the opportunity

for these guys. I put a lot of pressure on them and they are expected and they are student athletes, you know, they're not just ballplayers and not just down there playing ball.

And so there comes a time where they finish class, they finish study hall, they finish every other obligation and say I have a few minutes, let me go shoot some ball, and now we can't get in the gym. And now it's a negotiation. And I find myself in competition with other coaches at Georgetown as opposed to in competition with Jim Behan and Jim Calhoun and the other coaches in the Big East because I'm fighting for opportunity to get in the gym and opportunity to work out.

And it's just if we are, and are planning on it, if we are to maintain our place in the Big East, if we are to continue to have a chance to win, we need better facilities, more facilities, just so our guys can have the opportunity to work.

2.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Did you want to provide some testimony?

MR. HIBBERT: As Coach Thompson said, my name is Roy Hibbert. The rigors of a Georgetown student/player is very You go through school, you have long practices and everything like that. sometimes free whenever have time, we obviously we hit the books but obviously we like to get in the gym and shoot a round and work on our stuff, make sure we continue excellence on the court as we do off the court.

And we do have to share the gym with the women's volleyball team and the women's basketball as obviously they're doing good in their sports and everything. But we can't get in there in the mornings between, you know, I like to work out early in the mornings sometimes and lift in the morning around seven, eight in the morning and I have to see, you know, the women's volleyball team

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in there so I can't get my work done in the 1 mornings. And I know a lot of my teammates 2. 3 like to do that as well. So obviously, you know, our own 4 facility would help us out a lot and make sure 5 we continue excellence down the road. 6 7 Any questions of CHAIRMAN HOOD: this panel? Okay, then. I just have a 8 9 question to Mr. Hibbert. There was a guy named Kevin -- and 10 11 I'm going to take the opportunity, I'm the chair. There was a guy named Kevin Broadus, 12 was he a good coach? 13 MR. HIBBERT: Yes, he was a great 14 15 coach. 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Good. Let me just say this to you Coach; before you got 17 here there was a comment made from this dais 18 19 that we're missing Mr. Parsons but we have a 20 five and any time you guys want to scrimmage, we'll be ready. Did you hear that? When the 21 22 new facility's built we'll be taking you guys

on. He's good. He's good.

But on a serious note I want to thank you all for coming down to testify and I think we'll govern ourselves accordingly and wish you the best in the future. Thank you. We're Georgetown fans and we want you to win. Okay.

MR. HIBBERT: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any questions of this panel? Okay. Thank you. Thank you all for coming down and thank you for taking the time to come down.

I just have a few questions and maybe they may want to sit here, I do have a few questions. I'm looking at ANC-2E's letter and one of the things they mention about, and I put a question mark when I read this the other night, one of the things they mentioned about the GU multi-sport facility and athletic training facility, they said that they have no objection to approval of Georgetown University's multi-sport facility and athletic

1	training facility provided the university
2	agrees that these facilities would not be used
3	for revenue-generating non-university related
4	events.
5	I interpret that, I'm trying to
6	understand that and is there a representative
7	from 2E here? Maybe that's where the question
8	should go.
9	MS. DWYER: I believe he's on his
10	way.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: He's on his way?
12	Okay. Since there's not, how do you
13	understand that? Do you understand it the way
14	I do, anything that's not related but there
15	are revenue new events take place in these
16	facilities.
17	MS. DWYER: Correct. Correct.
18	There are revenue events but they have to have
19	a tie to the university. It could be a
20	student organization that requests that a
21	certain event take place or some other program

university from doing is saying 1 to outside promoter of an event, feel free to use 2 3 our campus and we'll lease the space to you just so we can make money. There has to be 4 a tie to the university. So the university 5 agrees with this condition. 6 7 Okay. Okay. CHAIRMAN HOOD: We want to be competitive. This is a competitive 8 9 I just don't want to prohibit or put 10 anybody in a bind where they can't do 11 something and I'm going to make sure that I at least understood that before we move forward. 12 13 The other thing, okay. Mr. Brangman, I'm sorry, I pronounced your name 14 15 wrong. Mr. Brangman, can you explain to me what 1, 2, 3 and 4, which one is what? 16 is the science center, what is the multi-17 18 sports facility? 19 MR. BRANGMAN: The 1, 2, 3 and 4 20 that are referred to here are with respect to the views which are the existing contexts that 21

you're looking okay? So from 1, which is

1	taken from here, you're basically standing
2	over near the new performing arts center
3	looking across the Jesuit cemetery towards the
4	Leavey Center. So this is actually the
5	location of where the new science center would
6	be going.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
8	MR. BRANGMAN: And it also
9	happens to be the building site currently for
10	the new business school which is towards that
11	end of the Leavey Center.
1.0	No. 2 is again line on the Leaves
12	No. 2 is actually up on the Leavey
13	Esplanade looking back towards the science
13	Esplanade looking back towards the science
13 14	Esplanade looking back towards the science center site. So in this shot you're standing
13 14 15	Esplanade looking back towards the science center site. So in this shot you're standing up on top of the building looking back towards
13 14 15 16	Esplanade looking back towards the science center site. So in this shot you're standing up on top of the building looking back towards where the science center location would be and
13 14 15 16 17	Esplanade looking back towards the science center site. So in this shot you're standing up on top of the building looking back towards where the science center location would be and this is actually the ICC, the Inter Cultural
13 14 15 16 17	Esplanade looking back towards the science center site. So in this shot you're standing up on top of the building looking back towards where the science center location would be and this is actually the ICC, the Inter Cultural Center, and this is the Reiss Building, so
13 14 15 16 17 18	Esplanade looking back towards the science center site. So in this shot you're standing up on top of the building looking back towards where the science center location would be and this is actually the ICC, the Inter Cultural Center, and this is the Reiss Building, so that's ICC there and that's the Reiss science

southwest end of the Inter Cultural Center and again you're looking towards the northwest so you're looking up in that direction past the science center.

And then 4 is actually up on top of what we call Observatory Hill looking back towards the site again, so that's where you're standing up here. This is up near the entrance of Yates, the athletic facility looking back towards the Leavey Center, Reiss, the ICC, this is where multi-sports field is here, the business school is being built there, and then that's the site for the new science center.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Where is the closest residence private home in relationship to the science center? And I'll tell you why I'm asking, in concern of the rooftop structure which I read in an ANC letter where it said you couldn't see it, it's down on campus, should have said it was the --

I understand all that. But where

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is the nearest residence?

MR. BRANGMAN: Okay. There is a Cloisters West here and Cloisters East, this is the Cloisters' housing condo development up here. I would say that that's probably the closest. There are actually no other residences that are that close. These are all student housing down here. Student housing here, student housing here.

These are privately owned residences although we also own some of those. So this is probably the closest there and you can't see from here to here because you're blocked by Darnell Hall, which is a tall residents' hall, you're also blocked Henle and the grade here actually goes down a little bit and then comes back up and then goes down. So you have no direct views of the campus in that area.

When you are up on Reservoir Road there are residents all along here and that goes up where Hillwood is. You're blocked by

1	the Med building, the hospital complex and St
2	Mary's. There's only one shot where you
3	actually get a slight view through here which
4	is between Colbert Cogan and the CCC building
5	and the hospital, but all you get to see is
6	the back end of the Leavey Center.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
8	Ms. Dwyer, the other question I have is there
9	was a condition, again this being proposed
10	with the same condition I think in your
11	original for the Harbin field. And I think
12	it's actually taken from the Office of
13	Planning recommended it.
14	MS. DWYER: Right. And that's in
15	the campus plan and we agreed to that
16	condition as well.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So this is
18	going to apply also to the multi-sport?
19	MS. DWYER: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. The other
21	question, do you know of any known opposition?
22	MS. DWYER: No, I'm not aware of

any known opposition. I know that Barbara 1 Zartman is in the audience but we haven't seen 2. 3 anything so I don't know what her testimony will involve. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Colleagues, any other questions? 6 7 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Yes Mr. Chair, very, very briefly I'd like to come 8 9 back to Coach Thompson and then to Mr. Hibbert if I could and they're going to be variations 10 11 on the same question but perhaps one from the coach's perspective and then one from Mr. 12 Hibbert's perspective as a student athlete. 13 we talked a little 14 Coach, 15 before you arrived about, and I used 16 analogy of an arms race so to speak in terms of universities continuing to upgrade their 17 facilities in a race to recruit the best and 18 19 the brightest athletes, and we talked a little 20 bit with Mr. Muir about how, to an extent 21 that's part of what's happening here but 22 necessarily trying to you're not

upgrade to the next model of the Bentley.

You're simply trying, to an extent, catch up.

And I think Coach Urick used the expression,

hey, we've had a slingshot for the last few

years as opposed to really keeping up in arms

race.

From your perspective as a coach in one of the major programs, how serious is that for you from a sustainability, from a standpoint viability in terms of the university continuing to maintain its competitiveness if you will and satisfy those expectations that you have? How serious is that is an issue for you from the standpoint athletic training facility coming online potentially?

MR. THOMPSON: It is imperative for our existence as a Division I Program, I mean not just top level as a Division I. You talked about a Bentley and the coach said we have a slingshot and if we're going with cars, you know, I think we currently have a Pinto,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and this is just trying to be a good Chevvy.

I mean in no way, shape or form is this facility going to put us into the arms We still will be significantly behind our peers relative to facilities. This would just put us in a position where we can work, where we can practice, where our guys can have access to a place year round. And that is imperative. We do a lot with smoke and mirrors but at some point the disparity between where we are and where everyone else in terms of recruiting.

See the way I look at it is two different facets. Yes, as a coach we want the biggest and the prettiest so we can recruits we have the biggest and the prettiest. And that is part of this but that's not the main part. For me, it's more once I have the guys that we have, we don't have an environment and a situation that's conducive to teaching and to learning year round.

So it's not just hey for show,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

because that's what a lot of the big state schools you know they go overboard just for show, to say we have the best. I don't need the best, I don't want the best. I'll take that back, I do want the best. But right now we are at a point where we are behind relative to what we need for their growth and their development. It's not about the show, it's not about the arms race. Like I say, we want to go from the Pinto to the Chevvy and with the Chevvy we can keep up with the guys with the Bentley.

COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: I

understand and I appreciate that. I think it came through very clearly in the written submittals that this was not about simply trying to upgrade to the next level of luxury, but this was simply about getting to a position where you make the best of what you have and given the constraints of the campus as it currently exists and the overall space that you have, this is the best possible

2.

scenario to help you address those needs. So I definitely appreciate your response on that.

Let me turn to you, Mr. Hibbert.

First of all, thank you very much for your time and I'll say in advance to all of our student athletes thank you very much for your time this evening. There are a lot of suits in the room, a lot of pay checks in the room, but for me and I'm sure for all of my Board members, your time is perhaps the most valuable so thank you all for being here. Mr. Hibbert, congratulations on a wonderful season and one to come.

From your standpoint as a student athlete, I'll just say it bluntly, how bad was it? You had an opportunity perhaps over the course of your career here at Georgetown to see other facilities, to compare. You perhaps had a chance to visit other institutions during your recruiting swings. I'll respect the fact, if you want to speak very carefully because, of course Coach is sitting here. But

2.

from the standpoint of just how that disparity 1 that we've talked a little bit about, how 2. 3 obvious was it? How blatant was it for you? MR. HIBBERT: There's a big 4 We travel to I think it's like difference. 5 Cincinnati, they have like a great facility, 6 they have weight rooms and weight trainers at 7 their disposal right there in their own gym. 8 9 We have to go up to Yates when we have to work out and lift and obviously, you 10 11 know, we have to share the weight room with other teams and everything. So obviously a 12 13 facility that will have just you know basketball that would help us out a lot 14 because obviously our time could be used 15 better instead of just having to plan out 16 we're going to lift at a certain time, we can 17 lift and get ready for the next point in 18 19 practice right away. 20 So obviously when we go to other places I talk to other people on the teams and 21

you

know, obviously our

say

that,

facilities are a lot better than the ones at Georgetown. So there's a little pride thing to that too, but we work what we have and Coach Thompson has taught us you know we have this and we're going to work with it and we're going to take baby steps and keep working.

your standpoint, would it be fair to also say that were the athletic training facility to come online it would enable you to make even more efficient use of your day? I mean you already have to be very efficient just because of all the commitments and responsibilities you have, but it would enable you to be even more efficient and effective with your time?

MR. HIBBERT: I believe so because obviously we can get a lot more done in a shorter period of time if we wouldn't have to worry about going up to lift or, you know, when we'd have to be able to use the gym in the mornings, afternoons or at night, so I think it would be more efficient.

2.

1	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Excellent.
2	Thank you. Coach?
3	MR. THOMPSON: When we travel we
4	try not to let them see what everybody else
5	has.
6	(Laughter)
7	We keep them in the hotel room and
8	then go right to the arena for the game and we
9	don't go exploring too much.
10	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: I
11	appreciate that. Excellent. Thank you.
12	Thank you Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So Coach
14	Thompson, if I understand, I'm trying to
15	reference it. I understand that progress is
16	happening, would you say that this is
17	basically the same facility as, I'm going to
18	name one of your players, Tom Skates, are they
19	still playing the same operation and you know
20	Tom Skates I'm sure. Are they playing the
21	same type of facility that Tom came up in when
22	he was playing at Georgetown?

MR. THOMPSON: Not just Tom 1 Skates but those that played 20 years before 2 3 Tom Skates. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okav. 4 MR. And what has 5 THOMPSON: happened in time frame and Mr. Muir knows the 6 numbers better than I do, but the number of 7 sports and the number of athletes and the 8 9 number of teams that use the facility has increased whereas we're still in the same 10 11 And I'm going to make these numbers up because I don't know for sure, the facility 12 was built for nine sports and now we have 27 13 that are using it. The facility that was 14 15 built for you know whatever, 50 athletes, 60 16 athletes and now we have 700 and some change 17 using it. And like I say we even have the 18

And like I say we even have the exact same place, the exact same office space, exact same locker rooms, exact same gym that Tom Skates had when he was there and probably everyone a few years before him.

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. That 1 2 gave me a better reference. Commissioner 3 Jeffries? VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: Thank 4 5 you. Just a quick question, Ms. Dwyer, if you could walk me through as it relates to the 6 7 variance request for the new science center. Can you just walk me through the extraordinary 8 9 and exceptional circumstance and then the practical difficulty? 10 11 MS. DWYER: All right. And I'll refer you to page 17 of our statement and it 12 talks about the exceptional conditions that 13 apply to the project and here we have an 14 15 unusual piece of property. It's a campus 16 property, it's not a single lot or a single development in a neighborhood but it's very 17 much part of a campus and it's unusual as well 18 19 because of the changes in grade and the 20 location on the interior of the campus. And because of the fact that the 21 22 university is not only subject to zoning but

also to historic preservation, it's in the Georgetown Historic District, we have to go through the Old Georgetown Board and the Commission of Fine Arts. And their view of the design is that they would like to see the project that you see, one that has an integrated design, that's very much in keeping with the remainder of the campus, and it's practically difficult to do that without the requested variance for leave.

addition, the other unusual condition here is that the university, the the university has made a president οf commitment for all future buildings to achieve certification lead silver and for this building, for the science building, in order to house the equipment that they're using that enables them to achieve that certification, that equipment has to be on the top of the building for ventilation purposes. And all of think contribute to the unusual those Ι conditions, practical difficulties.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In terms of no adverse impact, 1 2 aqain, interior of the campus not visible, 3 there's no adjacent property owner, no impact on light and air and no visual impact. 4 5 VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: Okay. And going forward you said the president or 6 7 university has committed to lead certification? 8 9 MS. DWYER: Yes. Yes. Now the multi-sport facility, because of the nature of 10 11 that facility and the fact that it's already existing will probably not achieve 12 silver. But the president has made a 13 commitment that for the athletic training 14 15 facility and, the science building and all 16 future projects, the university's commitment least lead silver achieve at 17 is to certification. 18 19 VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: So will 20 you be coming back to this Commission for an additional amendment before the expiration of 21 22 this current campus plan?

An additional 1 MS. DWYER: We'll be coming back to you with 2 amendment? 3 an updated campus plan, yes. VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: An 4 updated campus plan that starts after 2010? 5 MS. DWYER: That's correct. 6 7 that process because of the nature of a campus plan process already underway at 8 is 9 university to begin thinking where do we want to be in ten and 20 years. And the lead 10 11 silver will be part of one of the goals of that campus plan. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: 13 Okav. And I sort of go back to Coach Thompson's 14 15 comment about Pinto to Chevvy and that sort of dovetails nicely with the whole notion of 16 planning because another two or three years 17 you know you might find that you have to move 18 19 from a Chevvy to the next model up. 20 hoping that you can capture as much as 21 possible and do the proper planning going

forward.

1	MS. DWYER: Yes. I think the
2	athletic department at the university will
3	have a lot of input into the next campus plan
4	to make sure that they sustain the level that
5	they need in order to remain competitive.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: I went
7	to Notre Dame and I have to tell you, I mean
8	you see
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We won't hold
10	that against him.
11	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: And
12	obviously I mean the sports facilities are so
13	integral to the overall university and the
14	planning. I mean it's such a driver. But
15	anyway thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
17	Any other questions? Mr. Turnbull?
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, Mr.
19	Chair. I just wanted to ask to confirm with
20	Ms. Dwyer about the ATF building. You said
21	you still had to have review?
22	MS. DWYER: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm 2 assuming that we're looking at primarily fine 3 tuning architectural items? Primarily. MS. DWYER: I can ask 4 Mr. Brangman to address this, they have asked 5 the university to look at whether the height 6 7 could be reduced, but because of the nature of the facility and the kinds of uses that go in 8 9 there, I don't think the university will be able to reduce the height so they are looking 10 11 at the treatment of the facades and other architectural details to minimize the height. 12 But it may be that they're able to compress a 13 couple of the floors, in which case there 14 15 would be a reduction in height. But there would be absolutely no increase in height and 16 no increase in density. 17 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 18 But 19 basically we're still looking at the same 20 scope of a building? I mean size wise, the 21 footprint is going to remain the same? 22 MS. DWYER: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I mean
2	you can maybe compress some height but you're
3	not going to obviously get any smaller?
4	MS. DWYER: That's correct.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And I'm
6	assuming that we're still looking at brick the
7	gable ends as much as possible in sections?
8	Again trying to reflect the character of the
9	campus.
10	MS. DWYER: Yes. Absolutely.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank
12	you.
12	you. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other
13 14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions?
13 14 15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: One final
13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: One final question Mr. Chair and I'm actually going to
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: One final question Mr. Chair and I'm actually going to veer into the architectural side so this will
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: One final question Mr. Chair and I'm actually going to veer into the architectural side so this will perhaps be for Mr. Brangman.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: One final question Mr. Chair and I'm actually going to veer into the architectural side so this will perhaps be for Mr. Brangman. With respect to the ICC, that is

COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: What's the space between those two buildings? Will you still have a walkway or are those buildings aligned such that you're literally looking at one on top of the other? I'm kind of just anticipating the impacts that will happen to the I guess that will be the east facade of the ICC building?

MR. BRANGMAN: Right. This is a blow up of the site plan for the new science building that sits here. This the ICC here, this is Tondorf Drive which is the north-south connector through the campus. And much like we did with the Southwest Quad it's more of an urban field in those areas, the relationship of those buildings, where essentially you have a 24-foot wide street and then also in the case on the west side, there will be the introduction of a new sidewalk and also a new planting strip which goes right along next to the new science center.

The sidewalk that is on the east

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	side is currently hard up against the ICC,
2	that's an existing sidewalk there now which
3	actually doesn't get used much. If you had a
4	few of the students talk and you asked them
5	what's the easiest way to get up and down
6	Tondorf, they'll tell you it's walking up and
7	down the street and not using that sidewalk on
8	the east.
9	So our intent is to get a much
10	more generous sidewalk on the wester side of
11	that street, of Tondorf, in order to enhance
12	pedestrian movement north and south.
13	We do recognize, however, that
14	this is much more of an urban context. As it
15	is down here on the lower portion of the site
16	the street conditions to the buildings than
17	what may be the case in some other areas of
18	the campus. But we think that this will work
19	well.
20	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Excellent.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other

Okay. Hold tight and let's see if 1 questions? we have a representative from ANC-2E who would 2 3 like to cross examine? But I mean right now we're at the point where if the ANC wants to 4 cross examine, I know you're running a little 5 late so okay. Okay. 6 7 With that I would like to thank you Mr. Hibbert and believe me I was 8 9 definitely joking when I was talking about this five up here. I want to thank Coach 10 11 Thompson, Coach Urick and also Mr. Muir the 12 athletic director for you guys taking the time down and testify. 13 to come It was very Thank you very much and good luck. 14 helpful. Kevin's my man. Thinking about playing you 15 16 quys my feet start hurting so I shouldn't probably have said it. Okay. 17 Thank you 18 again. 19 Okay. Now we will move to our 20 Office of Planning Report. Mr. Mordfin? Mr. Lawson? 21 22 MR. MORDFIN: Good evening. I'm

Stephen Mordfin with the Office of Planning and Georgetown University does propose to construct and athletic training facility, a new science building, a multi-sport facility and a sports facility that has been reduced in size since it was approved for further processing by Case No. 05-31 in 2005.

Since that time the applicant has determined that the needs of its athletic programs have changed, the size of the multisport facility has been reduced in size and the student athletic facilities transferred to the now proposed athletic training facility. However, as this is a building that is not included in the current campus plan, a campus plan amendment is necessary.

The site of the athletic training facility is currently developed as outdoor tennis courts. As the site is currently used for athletic purposes, the location of the athletic training facility at this location is logical.

2.

required 1 Density for the construction of this building is proposed to 2 3 come from portions of the multi-sport facility that are being transferred to the athletic 4 training facility. However, as the university 5 has a greater need than anticipated 6 7 time of the approval of the campus plan for athletic programing, additional densities are 8 9 proposed to come from MHC-1 an as yet unplanned medical health care building. 10 11 Therefore, a campus plan amendment is necessary for the university to construct 12 this athletic training facility in light of 13 its changing needs. 14 15 Further processing is then required in order to allow this facility to be 16 built. 17 processing is also 18 Further 19 required to build the modified multi-sports 20 facility. As this building is still proposed 21 host athletic events, the Office of to

Planning recommends that the same condition

that was previously approved for the multisports facility, that all athletic events at the multi-sports facility expect to draw over 100 visitors, shall begin before 4 p.m. or after 7 p.m. be applied also to the new multisports facility building.

The university is also seeking further processing for the construction of the new science building. This building is included within the campus plan. However, special exception is required to reduce the setback of the roof structure and a variance is required to increase the height of the roof structure.

These are necessary because as a science building with lavatories, adequate ventilation must be provided which includes additional ventilation equipment that must be located on the roof to adequately ventilate the building.

The Office of Planning recommends approval of the application as submitted,

1	subject to the condition that all athletic
2	events at the multi-sport facility expected to
3	draw over 100 visitors shall begin before 4
4	p.m. or after 7 p.m. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very
6	much, Mr. Mordfin. I will tell you that I
7	think your Office of Planning report was very
8	well done and it was very insightful for my
9	benefit. So want to thank you.
10	MR. MORDFIN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Colleagues, any
12	questions of Office of Planning? Okay. Does
12 13	questions of Office of Planning? Okay. Does the applicant have any?
13	the applicant have any?
13 14	the applicant have any? MS. DWYER: No questions.
13 14 15	the applicant have any? MS. DWYER: No questions. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. ANC have
13 14 15 16	the applicant have any? MS. DWYER: No questions. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. ANC have any questions?
13 14 15 16 17	the applicant have any? MS. DWYER: No questions. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. ANC have any questions? MR. SOLOMON: No.
13 14 15 16 17 18	the applicant have any? MS. DWYER: No questions. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. ANC have any questions? MR. SOLOMON: No. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the applicant have any? MS. DWYER: No questions. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. ANC have any questions? MR. SOLOMON: No. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. All right. Let's move right along with the

MS. DWYER: Yes we have. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. You've 3 seen it. In the Department of Transportation's Report it says the project 4 negligible 5 will have impacts the on transportation network according to DDOT, has 6 7 no objections to the proposal. And that is Exhibit No. 26. And I think that's all we 8 9 have. Now we'll do report of Advisory 10 11 Neighborhood Commission 2E. If you can come 12 forward and I think we have a number of designates. Ed Solomon, that's you? I got it 13 right the first time, okay good. Have a seat, 14 identify yourself and you can begin your 15 16 testimony. MS. DWYER: Mr. Chair, I'm not 17 sure that Ed Solomon was here for the swearing 18 19 in. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh Ms. Dwyer, you don't believe Mr. Solomon will tell us -- If 21 22 you can stand and take the oath Mr. Solomon.

(THE WITNESS IS SWORN) 1 I just want his 2 MS. DWYER: 3 testimony to have great weight. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I understand. Ι 4 said that and I probably shouldn't encourage 5 that but you've got to have some fun some 6 7 time. MR. SOLOMON: Good evening. 8 Ι 9 will read the ANC motion into the record. October 3, 2007, ANC-2E held a regularly 10 public meeting 11 scheduled which was 12 noticed and attended all bу seven commissioners constituting a quorum. 13 meeting the Zoning 14 Αt the 15 Commission Case No. 07-23 was discussed. After deliberation Commissioner Lowenstein 16 introduced a following motion 17 which adopted by the Commission with a vote of 6-1 18 19 for the science center and an unanimous vote 20 for the multi-sport facility and athletic 21 training facility.

ANC-2E has no objection to

proposed height variance and setback exception for the Georgetown University's Science Center given the unusual circumstances of the application.

First, the science center cannot be seen from anywhere other than the university property. This makes the proposed height variance and setback exception for a non-conforming penthouse on a science center very unusual in that the visual impact will be exclusively on the applicant's property.

Second, Georgetown University's goal of achieving lead silver certification for the science center is meritorious. Given these highly unusual circumstances, ANC-2E has no objection if the Zoning Commission applies its normally stringent standards for a penthouse variance less rigorously in this case and approves a variance and exception.

ANC-2E wishes to emphasize however that such a decision should not become precedent for no more cases where an

2.

applicant's property can be seen from public or other privately held properties.

ANC has no objection to approval of Georgetown University's multi-sports facility and athletic training facility provided the university agrees that these facilities will not be used for a revenue generating non-university related events. The Commission understands that the university agrees with this limitation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Solomon, I will tell you, Mr. Solomon, a number of questions. I don't know if you've heard a number of questions that I know that my colleagues have asked come straight from your letter so you guys have a done a great job.

But I will take note and say I think the last paragraph you say that such a decision should not become precedent. When I read that I will tell you that this Commission takes, we work with those issues on a case by case basis, we're not setting precedent down

1	here in this case. Any questions of the ANC?
2	Okay. Does the applicant have any questions?
3	MS. DWYER: No questions.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.
5	Thank you Mr. Solomon. Okay. Now I have a
6	list, how many people are proponents of this
7	particular project? Just raise your hand and
8	let me get a reference. Okay. Everyone who
9	wants to speak in support of this project I'm
10	going to start with that group. From the list
11	it's just persons in support.
12	Benjamin Shaw? And if I mess up
13	your name, just come forward. If it sounds
14	anywhere near it, it's you.
15	Kathleen Chapman? Kathryn, I'm
16	sorry. Christopher Williams? And let's see
17	if we can do this one, Miles Cass? Okay.
18	Each of you have three minutes. Mr. Shaw? Oh
19	ladies first, I'm sorry. I like that. Okay.
20	I like that.
21	MS. CHAPMAN: Hi. I'm Kathryn
22	Chapman. I am a junior in Georgetown's

undergraduate college of Arts and Sciences so
I'm coming from just a regular student's
perspective. I'm not a student athlete,
although I am an athlete. I was in high
school. So the new basketball facility would
be great to get the basketball players out of
the gym that we now work out in because it's
small to begin with. And so we would love to
have more space.

So I'm a math major which is classified as a science so I actually have had classes in the Reiss science building. It's very old and outdated, even the desks like have writing on them. They're too small. In the laboratories the equipment is old, just very old, probably older than me. So it would be great just to have a state of the art facility.

And in terms of the multi-sport facility, I think athletics is a lot of the reason why people apply, like perspectives apply to college and it makes a very big

2.

1 difference if we have a nice facility to 2 attract perspective students and especially 3 with our lacrosse team, which I'm pretty sure is ranked Top Ten, I think they should be able 4 to play in a great facility. 5 And also if we can attract more 6 7 perspective students then the school can be selective they accept and, 8 more in who 9 hopefully, raise our ranks. That's it. 10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Ιf 11 you can just hold your seat, we may have some questions. Mr. Shaw? 12 13 MR. SHAW: Yes. My name's Ben Shaw, I'm the student body president this year 14 15 and I mean I'm by no means a student athlete, I'd probably get creamed if I played football. 16 You saw Roy Hibbert, you see me. 17 I mean I'm not going to be playing basketball. 18 19 mean any time I crack a C in a science class, 20 I'm delighted. 21 So none of these are necessarily 22 in direct relation to me but I mean there's

certainly significant carry over effect.

First of all, I mean just the multi-sport facility; a reporter from the New York Times sports page called me about a week and a half ago and just the kind of line of questioning basically was he was wondering why Georgetown is this sort of institution that is elite by almost any measures playing in this facility that's unremarkable, I think was what he used which is kind of putting it charitably.

So I mean I think that that's certainly significant and that people are aware of that.

The same would go for the science building. I mean I give campus tours occasionally and you're talking about how Georgetown has a good science program and then they sort of walk in front of the science building and you see people kind of looking back and forth and thinking really, you know, you're trying to tell me that this school has

an elite science program when we can see the 1 building right here. 2 3 And then finally I mean I think the practice facilities 4 iust are pretty I mean I think it's remarkable 5 important. that we do have the sports programs we do 6 7 given our facilities. And I mean even if you weren't a student athlete you know I mean when 8 9 basketball team is doing well just everything is better, the grass is greener, 10 11 your food tastes better. I mean your work goes guicker. I mean I'm sure Commissioner 12 Etherly when the team was doing well you were 13 referencing your Georgetown 14 law degree little bit more. 15 I mean there's just a lot of pride 16 that goes into that. So I mean I think 17 certainly even if you don't personally use 18 19 these facilities, you certainly are affected. 20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Williams? 21 22 MR. WILLIAMS: Good evening

everyone. I'm Christopher Williams and I'm here to speak in support of the construction of the new science center. I am a member of the graduate School of Arts and Sciences and I'm in my second year in a Ph.D program.

I initially heard of the plans for the new science building when I came here in the summer of 2006 and I was pretty excited that I'd have a chance to work in the new building and then I realized that I would graduate before it would be completed.

And most of my life revolves around our current science building and this is where my lab space is, this is where I take classes, and I attend meetings and seminars.

And during the week I spend about twice as much time on campus and in the lab than I do at home each day.

And the need for the building is monumental. I mean Reiss lacks adequate class and lab space and while lab equipment still functions, many pieces could stand to be

2.

upgraded. Some of the undergraduate classes are so cramped that some students have to write with their books in their laps instead of actually having desks to write on. And there are also not many rooms available for exit seminars and other scientific talks.

In many instances, if you do not schedule to use a room far in advance you may have to use a room in another building. science communication is in central t.o performing good research because we invite speakers and also you need to have conversations with your lab mates throughout the day and that's very difficult when your separated between three lab is and four separate rooms on one floor.

And the new science center will also add a touch of architectural beauty to the former parking lot right beside the Leavey Center. And it will also create much needed space for the undergraduate and graduate scientists and it will be more environmentally

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 friendly than Reiss is. And, as you know, the building will be lead silver certified. 2. 3 And the new science center is designed so that it minimizes energy and water use but it also 4 will give scientists the chance to do high 5 caliber science. 6 7 And between the business new school and the new science center there's a 8 9 quad with green areas and walkways where students could relax or possibly study. 10 11 And because the science center is be constructed between existing 12 going to buildings, it is not adding to the campus size 13 or to the student population, but it is making 14 a better use of the land for the existing 15 16 students and faculty. And the construction of the displays 17 science center new Georgetown is committed to scientific research 18 19 and maintaining environmental standards. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Mr. 22 Cass?

MR. CASS: My name is Miles Cass and I'm a senior captain of the Georgetown Men's lacrosse team. Thank you all for allowing me the opportunity to speak on behalf of Georgetown University.

My fellow captains and I are here address the critical needs of the to university for future generations of Georgetown students. We are advocates in their legacy.

In the next few minutes I'd ask you to consider my vision for one of America's great national universities. Imagine as you do this that you are listening to your own son or daughter, your own niece or nephew, to your own grandchild or perhaps to echoes from your own memories from when you were my age.

I pose the question: How does the progress of the university relate to that of the students it serves? In making my decision to attend Georgetown I considered academic, athletic and social attributes of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the school. But another factor ultimately sold me on becoming a part of this community. It was an intangible quality of supreme importance, namely the commitment of the university to exemplify excellence in whatever endeavor it took part. The fulfillment of this vision during my tenure at Georgetown with respect to athletic programs has not been met.

In order to develop each athlete to the greatest extent possible in terms of skill, fitness, competitiveness and leadership ability, our facilities need to meet minimum standards. They don't. And to me that is a lost opportunity to educate fully our students who have chosen the mental and physical discipline of Division I sports as one of their vehicles for personal growth.

What are the shortcomings that fail to nurture excellence. Without locker rooms teams are like a family without a home. It becomes more difficult to function as a

team. Solve team problems and nurture leadership when there's no area of our own to conduct such team activities. Lacking adequate training facilities. Athletes cannot test the limits of their own work ethic because the area simply is too crowded to condition properly.

What benefit will be rendered or conferred by the completion of the proposed facilities? The answer lies at the heart of the Georgetown Jesuit mission true and for others. educating men women Essentially, the university's undertaken the tall task of developing society's leaders, certainly the men and women who attend Georgetown are of а hiqh character and ability. But this university is determined to stretch us to exceed what we thought were our limits, to make us stronger by helping us find out who we are in and through our work.

It is not good enough for a university of Georgetown's stature to meet

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this goal in one area. It is imperative that 1 2. it be met across the board. 3 Today we do not offer our student athletes the best opportunity to succeed on 4 the playing field and, more importantly, 5 individuals. This can change. We ask you to 6 7 embrace our vision and help us to realize the potential for excellence in this integral 8 9 component of a Georgetown education. We as a university are committed 10 11 to nurture leadership, work ethic These proposed facilities can help 12 character. to usher in an era where Georgetown fulfills 13 more of its potential as a laboratory for 14 15 lessons in leadership. Did you finish 16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: 17 your testimony? MR. CASS: That's it. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Mr. Cass, 20 to start off you're making me feel kind of old. Let me ask you a quick question. 21

on the lacrosse team and we haven't talked a

1	whole lot about lacrosse.
2	Now I think in the testimony you
3	were having to change where you can when you
4	change up for practice. Is that the case?
5	Where do you actually change?
6	MR. CASS: Yes. I actually
7	never enter McDonough. I change at my house
8	and then I have to walk, it's almost a mile,
9	actually maybe a little bit less, might be
10	three-fourths of a mile down to the playing
11	facility.
12	And then two days a week, after I
12 13	And then two days a week, after I finish working out I have no opportunity to
	_
13	finish working out I have no opportunity to
13 14	finish working out I have no opportunity to shower. I immediately go to class for two
13 14 15	finish working out I have no opportunity to shower. I immediately go to class for two hours and then around nine o'clock I'll go
13 14 15 16	finish working out I have no opportunity to shower. I immediately go to class for two hours and then around nine o'clock I'll go home and I'll shower. All the while I'll have
13 14 15 16 17	finish working out I have no opportunity to shower. I immediately go to class for two hours and then around nine o'clock I'll go home and I'll shower. All the while I'll have to carry my equipment with me because there's
13 14 15 16 17	finish working out I have no opportunity to shower. I immediately go to class for two hours and then around nine o'clock I'll go home and I'll shower. All the while I'll have to carry my equipment with me because there's no place to store it.
13 14 15 16 17 18	finish working out I have no opportunity to shower. I immediately go to class for two hours and then around nine o'clock I'll go home and I'll shower. All the while I'll have to carry my equipment with me because there's no place to store it. CHAIRMAN HOOD: So you practice

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.
2	Thank you very much. Question Mr. Jeffries?
3	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: I
4	haven't a question. Obviously I mean the
5	students really made a case, I mean I look at
6	Georgetown University as a national
7	university, I would imagine the endowment, I
8	don't know where it ranks. Oh it's not that
9	great? Oh.
10	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.
11	Etherly, get your wallet out. Get your
12	wallet out.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: I mean
14	these stories, I have to tell you that some of
15	your testimony it sounds like you're talking
16	about a District of Columbia public school.
17	I mean it's really pretty No, I mean that's
18	a fact. It's a fact. I mean it's not to say
19	that it's correct but it's a fact.
20	And it's just somewhat startling
21	to hear some of the commentary about you know
22	the conditions and so forth at a national

university. So clearly I mean the case has 1 been made. 2 But I go back to this endowment. 3 MS. DWYER: If I could just make Georgetown like other 4 statement. universities in the last ten years has had 5 enormous pressure to build on campus housing 6 7 and a lot of the money that the university could spend on these facilities was instead 8 9 focused on that. And now that it has caught up in 10 11 that area and in fact has these wonderful on campus housing projects, now it's free to look 12 at some of the other areas. 13 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: 14 Okay. So 15 you prioritize, you focus more of the monies 16 on residential housing and less on academic buildings and facilities? 17 That's what you're 18 saying. 19 MS. DWYER: It had to prioritize. 20 It had to do with a certain amount of on 21 housing and it's looking at campus now 22 academic and athletic facilities that are very

much in need of substitution and renovation 1 2. and new development. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: Well I mean if you want to respond but I just 4 you know your commentary is somewhat sobering, 5 I mean in terms of the conditions. I mean I 6 7 know that people come here and really try to making the case for 8 relay concerns and 9 improvements to the campus or wherever, but it's just you know, but anyway I appreciate 10 11 your coming down. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner 12 Etherly? 13 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Thank you 14 15 very much, Mr. Chair. Very briefly, but thank I'm sorry would you like to respond? 16 MS. CHAPMAN: I don't want it to 17 sound like that we're just kind of complaining 18 19 about our university. I can speak for myself 20 and hopefully and hopefully for everyone. Like we're very, we love the quality of our 21 22 education so it's not that we're speaking

poorly about Georgetown that you know like it doesn't meet our academic needs.

But we also feel that our athletic department and our sciences should be brought up to a higher level. I don't want it to sound like that we're comparing ourselves to D.C. public schools because we know it's not.

VICE CHAIRMAN JEFFRIES: I'm sorry. I mean don't, I mean that was more you know dramatic than anything but I just wanted to sort of try to crystallize it. Some of the comments that Mr. Williams made here just you know were somewhat startling to me. But anyway.

COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: No, I mean just to piggyback both on your reply to Mr.

Jeffries and Mr. Jeffries' line of questioning. I think the testimony is offered in a very direct and applicable way here.

This is a top notch university that demands the utmost of all of its students, both athletes and otherwise. And you're simply

here to say that we want to make sure that that is absolutely the case across the board. And I think that's a very fair point. There's a lot that the university offers above and beyond many other universities in our region and throughout the country. So I definitely appreciate the testimony of each of you. I think your remarks have thrown into very clear relief that just as much as we would talk with Coach Thompson or Coach Urick or Mr. Muir about the ability to recruit top student athletes, you're here also to remind us that this is also about recruiting top students.

Those of us who might not be blessed with the athletic skills and might get creamed, as one our witnesses indicated, but I wanted to, pursuant to that point, ask perhaps from all of you but feel free not to respond if you don't feel the need to, from the standpoint and perhaps especially for you Ms. Chapman being a math major, from the standpoint of recruitment, from the standpoint

2.

of students who are pursuing hard sciences or 1 other disciplines, do you feel that there's a 2 3 need for the university to be vigilant about its ability to compete in that arena compared 4 to other universities? 5 MS. CHAPMAN: I think so. 6 Even 7 if you look at the registrar page there are 8 only about ten upper level math courses 9 offered. So if we have a facility and just and 10 buildings where we can have classes 11 teachers can teach more than one course because the university is so pressed about how 12 13 many course we can have because there's just 14 not ample space. Did that answer the question 15 pretty well for all? 16 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Thank you. 17 MR. SHAW: I mean I would just say that I've been having this conversation 18 19 with various students before I got down here

and I mean someone made the point that if

the

universities in the country, they're all very

top

at

looking

you're

20

21

22

15, 20, 25

1 similar. And when you're trying to attract a talented applicant pool, I mean every little 2. 3 thing makes a huge difference. And I mean it's the little things you remember. 4 And so I mean if somebody gets 5 done with one of their tours, the thing that 6 7 they can remember about the university is this decrepit science building that they saw at the 8 9 very end of the tour. And by that same token, I mean I 10 11 think one of the things that really sets us apart from a Harvard or a Princeton is you 12 know the flagship basketball program that we 13 And so I mean I think that we need to 14 15 do everything we can to sort of foster that, 16 sort of taking away this kind of mitigating influence that some of these poorer facilities 17 18 might have. 19 COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Excellent. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh I'm sorry, Mr. 22 Cass go ahead.

MR. CASS: I just wanted to add on to that. I mean hearing us deliberate about recruits and players while they're there, but I think there's a central message that we need to understand, and that is we're preparing people for the outside world.

And as I spoke of, you know, how we find ourselves and we relate to others outside of the university has much to do with what we do while we're there. And if we're not affording the student athletes with the necessary amenities so that they can improve themselves and better service the world while they're out, then we've done a disservice far beyond just not being able to compete in the Big East or for us you know in the ECAC we've done, in my opinion, a disservice to a much wider array of people.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to thank you four for coming out and providing testimony and also participating in the process. I really want to thank you. Your

1	testimony was very helpful. One second ANC.
2	ANC, do you any cross examination of this
3	panel?
4	MR. SOLOMON: No.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank
6	you. Thank you all for your testimony.
7	Okay. We'll speed this up a
8	little bit. I know I'm going to mess this up,
9	Patricia Peatrowicz and Joesph Fusco. Is
10	there anyone else who would like to testify in
11	support of this application? Anyone else who
12	would like to testify in support? Okay.
13	Seeing none, after these two finish we'll move
14	into opposition. Patricia and if you can help
15	me pronounce your last name.
16	MS. PEATROWICZ: Yes. I'm
17	Patricia Peatrowicz, 50 percent Polish. And I
18	am the Georgetown women's lacrosse captain.
19	And I also just wanted to thank the Zoning
20	Commission and the athletic department for
21	allowing the opportunity to speak tonight.
22	As has been previously expressed,

the facilities at Georgetown fall way below the standards of any comparative Division I university that we play. As student athletes we spend a majority of our college career on the turf, in the weight room, and in the training room.

As we reflect back on our college years, the memories we have will be dominated by our athletic experiences both on and off the field.

The Georgetown facilities in no way enhance these experiences. Facilities should provide athletes with the greatest opportunities to succeed and allow athletes to compete at the highest level possible.

If you look at numbers alone our facilities are inadequate to do this and just from a personal experience that I think a lot of the women may relate to more in this room, our locker room has 27 lockers. Our team has 32 players. And we have one bathroom. So it's kind of tough to get ready for a game

2.

when we have one bathroom and 32 players. 1 2 is clear that our athletic 3 facilities do not have the capacity to serve 27 Division I sports. And anyone who looks at 4 these numbers can see this. 5 However, what you might not see is 6 7 that pride it's а factor to represent Georgetown University and to be a Georgetown 8 9 And this pride does not come from our facilities unfortunately. 10 It comes from a 11 strong tradition of being a very athletic competitor. 12 13 Ιt is. to be very blunt, embarrassing that we do not even show our 14 15 recruits our locker room or training room or 16 our weight room. Sorry, I lost my place. The athletic facilities 17 at 18 Georgetown are what you begin to call home 19 after four years, and a home to me is a place 20 that you should be proud of. It shouldn't be

something that you feel the need to hide or

skip over when you're trying to get the top

21

recruits in the country to commit. 1 2 Ι am proud to be a Georgetown 3 athlete and I will be a Hoya at heart for the rest of my life. But I am not proud of 4 5 facilities that university currently our offers. The finishing of the multi-sport 6 7 facility and the addition of the new athletic facility will give the 8 training student 9 athletes, as well as the student body in general, a starting point in reference to a 10 11 place that they are proud to call home. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. 12 Mr. Fusco? 13 MR. FUSCO: Joseph Fusco. 14 Fusco. 15 I'm here tonight to give you my personal perspective as a Georgetown University student 16 on the importance of a new science facility 17 18 for Georgetown University, a long awaited 19 facility. 20 For Georgetown, the new science building is necessary not only for the further 21

development of the university's longstanding

research status but also to deal with the poor 1 conditions of the present building and its 2. 3 facilities. As an undergraduate at Georgetown 4 University, 5 Ι carry research in an out immunology laboratory focusing on the parasite 6 7 Giardia lamblia. Anyone who drank from a spring while out on a hike can attest to the 8 9 unpleasant consequences of drinking a gulp of diarrhea-causing Giardia parasites. 10 11 This parasite causes severe diarrhea and dehydration, chronic infection 12 and sometimes death. The focus of my research 13 is the development of a vaccine possibly 14 saving hundreds of thousands of lives. 15 I enjoy the challenges of 16 scientific research, making breakthroughs in 17 some instances and in many others simply 18 19 falling flat on my face. 20 I, along with the members of the Georgetown research community am motivated to 21

continue to ask questions and to search for

answers. This collective enthusiasm allows for advancements and innovations in numerous fields, including biology, chemistry and physics.

I am proud to state that the research carried out by my fellow students and the faculty contribute a great deal to the prestige of our school. This in turn results in the recruitment of bright minds to continue in the tradition of tackling challenges.

As the chemists among us would say the limiting in the elucidation of new information and solutions to problems is the lack of proper facilities. The present building has poor working electrical outlets, non-working sinks and simply not enough space to accommodate the collaboration among various individuals required in research communities today.

The present facility was built in the 1960s when researchers worked individually and it was common to have labs shaped like

2.

rabbit tunnels. Today, research is not carried out on an individual basis but by a number of individuals in wide open lab settings working together to find answers.

Some of my fellow biology majors teaching in the D.C. public schools have told me that even the labs in those public schools are more spacious and technically advanced than those at Georgetown.

Focusing on my specific research I need quite a large amount of space to house bulky electrical tools. Presently our laboratory has to store the tissue-preparing tools in the back room of another lab and has the large freezer in the middle of the hallway.

Along with the insufficient space for collaboration, each time I move my tissue samples around the building, human experimental error increases exponentially.

Many of my fellow students do not have the opportunity to house their materials in areas

2.

1	scattered throughout the building as I do, and
2	have to make do with what they can fit into
3	the small outdated lab facilities. Not only
4	does this hinder the research occurring at
5	present but the lack of space also prevents
6	the science department from taking on new
7	research faculty members who could in turn
8	provide new insights as well as expand the
9	present curriculum.
10	Allowing Georgetown University to
11	finally obtain the desperately needed research
12	facility not only provides students with
13	enhanced opportunities but benefits society as
14	a whole by allowing the taking of a concrete
15	step towards the advancement of important
16	scientific discovery.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Have you finished
18	your testimony?
19	MR. FUSCO: Yes. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you
21	both. Colleagues, any questions? And I
22	forgot does the applicant have any questions?

1	MS. DWYER: No question.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Did you have any
3	questions of the other witness?
4	MS. DWYER: I did not.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Good. It's
6	better late than never. Does ANC have any
7	questions? Okay. Again, I want to thank you
8	both for your participation in the process.
9	Thank you.
10	Okay. Now we're going to move
11	right into our organizations and persons in
12	opposition and I have the list. Ms. Barbara
13	Zartman, Citizens Association of Georgetown.
14	MS. ZARTMAN: Good evening and
15	congratulations Mr. Chairman. And welcome
16	Mr. Etherly.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Zartman, can
18	I ask you question. Do you have a written
19	statement for us?
20	MS. ZARTMAN: I do indeed.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me ask, is
22	anyone else here in opposition whether you're

an organization or as a person? Anyone else 1 2. in opposition? Okay. You may begin Ms. 3 Zartman. MS. ZARTMAN: My name is Barbara 4 Zartman and I'm here representing the Citizens 5 Association of Georgetown with their specific 6 7 direction. think one thing ought to be 8 9 clear from the very beginning. Since before adopted, 10 the new plan was CAG and the 11 community in general has supported creation of athletic facilities on campus, has 12 supported new academic buildings that would 13 enrich the quality of education at Georgetown. 14 15 My reason for being here is that we have only two categories, support and 16 opposition, and what we really are saying is 17 that there are some conditions we would like 18 19 to have you consider that would make this a 20 far better resolution for both the community and we think the university. 21

I'm going to follow the order of

the Office of Planning Report which I think 1 2. may be easier for us to deal with. 3 we would ask that Zoning Commission include in the averaging of 4 semesters when counting students the English 5 as a Second Language and other students who 6 7 come to Georgetown for the spring semester, all of whom need housing. 8 9 For reasons no one I've spoken to can fathom, they have been excluded from the 10 count of students so that when the spring 11 semester comes and Georgetown students go 12 abroad or elsewhere to study, the student 13 count drops, but their places are taken by 14 15 other students. And the impact on the 16 community is further burdened. This increase in numbers when one 17 18 averages was acknowledged by the Court of 19 Appeals so that the student cap is no longer 20 an accurate measure. 21 We've spoken of revenue-generating

at Harbin Field and elsewhere. I'm

events

pleased the university has agreed to that, it 1 was one of the original conditions not opposed 2 3 by the university, the Court or the community. Basically, this is an effort to 4 say this facility is not to be used for rock 5 concerts or other entertainment venues. 6 7 The transportation management program should be amended to provide every 8 9 encouragement for the use of Canal Road rather than the Prospect Street entrance to campus. 10 11 This is in the Grove Slade report that's part of the Georgetown application. 12 13 More than а decade ago the community agreed to support the Canal Road 14 15 entrance construction in exchange for relief 16 on Prospect Street which is quite simply a 17 horror every evening. 18 From the report it that seems 19 Georgetown is now proposing that only visitors 20 and commercial vehicles need use Canal Road. 21 I hope you will ask me more about that. 22 Item 7 on page 2, the BZA accepted the recitation of the ALL and SNAP programs and other promotional efforts as proof of acceptable behavior by on and off campus students. Yet Georgetown adopts policies that add serious burdens to the community. It recently adopted a one keg policy for on campus parties. While I laud the attempt to curb alcohol use by students, this simply means that the students will have keg parties off campus in the community rather than on campus.

We made requests, the ANC made requests of the university to reconsider that policy. We have seen no such commitment.

Along the same lines you may have seen the rather humorous Apostles of Brian, it was a fraternity house come religious residence that students attempted to create so that 15 students could live together in a single house. Initially the university said it wasn't any of their business to constrain those students' behavior and only after it

2.

became rather a public scandal did the university lend its hand to ending the violation.

As to that medical building on Reservoir Road, MedStar which operates the northern facilities told the community very clearly that there would be no physicians' office building, MHC1 as it was referred to.

This pleases the community greatly. We had agreed to the creation of a physicians' office building at the very start of the last campus plan because MedStar told us it was necessary to keep the hospital out of bankruptcy.

The thought that this building will not be built is a source of great relief, but just this evening Georgetown is proposing that that building will be built in some smaller size or dimension. We have no problem in seeing the footage allocated to the current facilities on the south campus, but we would be very troubled if the new facilities you are

2.

here to consider tonight were built and there 1 was still a building on the north campus. 2. 3 As to the variance. I have a number of comments about the rooftop structure 4 which was described to the CAG board by 5 persons here tonight as about 30 feet high. 6 7 The Office of Planning Report says the penthouse is 41 feet. 8 9 trouble seeing We have great consistent drawings. Even the Old Georgetown 10 11 Board was reviewing drawings that were not to I don't know that any of them would 12 scale. have realized that according to the drawings 13 in your application that long tower wall, or 14 15 the tall tower wall, appears to be 232 feet 16 high. I don't know anything that would 17 be appropriate for that scale on this campus. 18 19 We have real concerns about lead 20 standards justify hugely being used to 21 oversize penthouses on campuses and elsewhere. 22 MS. DWYER: Mr. Chairman, I mean

1	the time limit has been exceeded.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I know that. I
3	heard it and I understand, but Ms. Dwyer as
4	you know I take it in the context of exactly
5	how many people in opposition. We only have
6	one person and a few more minutes, a minute or
7	two will not hurt her I don't think. But if
8	you have an objection to me giving her another
9	minute, then please let me know.
10	MS. DWYER: I don't have an
11	objection to that. I have an objection to the
12	five minutes of her testimony that dealt with
13	campus plan conditions.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to
15	address that. That's actually my first
16	question so maybe that objection was great.
17	But anyway Ms. Zartman, if you can wrap it up
18	and we'll give you one more minute.
19	MS. ZARTMAN: There are two more
20	paragraphs. Georgetown doesn't argue that
21	there's no alternative to this oversized

penthouse, just that the alternatives would be

more expensive. You've been offered no documentation. If the variance were to be granted, I would hope there would be at least highly specific language about unique conditions that would limit the likelihood of application elsewhere just as Georgetown cites in this application, three other campuses as justification here.

As Ι said, overall we have supported facilities to benefit the student, the sports programs, the academics on campus, but the constant pushing of the envelope and protections weakening of the for the residential community in which the university sits. is something that is a constant difficulty for us and causes us to have to appear in opposition to proposals. you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank very much
Ms. Zartman and I was not aware that there was
any opposition. The Commission goes, and I
know you've been on these issues a long time

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and we respect your input but the Commission, especially on Sundays when we're watching the National Football League and other Saturdays and Sundays we spend a lot of time analyzing in the case and I know you're a volunteer group and when I read -- I came in today for no opposition because that's just what the file said.

But let me ask you this, Ms.

Zartman, when I read these, and I have not had a chance to fully digest this, most of it with the exception of maybe two, as Ms. Dwyer mentioned, this campus plan issue, at this point in time what we have before us I don't see it. I mean these are campus plan issues as opposed to further process which is in front of us. Help me understand how this correlates or how this intersects, with the exception of maybe two issues I see on here.

MS. ZARTMAN: I was as I mentioned following the order in which Office of Planning dealt with the topic tonight.

2.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: On page 2 of 9?

MS. ZARTMAN: A lot of the issues are there on 2 of 9. They do relate to compliance with the standards that included in the campus plan and the basic standard for special exceptions. I mean the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate that the use is not likely to become objectionable because of noise, traffic, number of students or other conditions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Maybe I just don't understand. Maybe my colleagues or somebody can help me. I don't understand how this correlates to that because in their report on page 1 it talks about the background. I quess bringing us up to speed on the Board of Zoning at that time what Adjustment dealt with. And these were part of what was which would make something I don't see anything that says approved. negating us from moving forward with any further process. I just don't and maybe I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

just missing it. I've missed it before.

MS. ZARTMAN: As an example, the issue of use of the Prospect Street gate, which is included in Mr. Slade's report, I forget the appendix tab in your application. For a dozen years we have expected that there would be relief in the community from the traffic generated at the entrance closest to the facilities you're considering tonight because of the availability of the Canal Road entrance.

That entrance has finally been constructed, it is nearly complete and ready to be used and I was stunned to read in Mr. Slade's report that Georgetown staff and faculty would be parking on campus using the Prospect Street gate.

I mean this is millions of public dollars that went for this, there is a new lane being built to connect the Canal Road entrance with the Whitehurst Freeway to ease the exit from Georgetown. I can't imagine

1	that anybody thought that project was to
2	benefit the visitors and commercial traffic at
3	Georgetown University. And it matters a
4	great deal to the community.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I
6	understand that. Ms. Zartman, are you
7	familiar with DDOT's report? I'm not a
8	traffic expert, well I don't think anyone up
9	here is but
10	MS. ZARTMAN: I only saw the
11	reference in Mr. Mordfin's report to DDOT
12	having no objection.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. They
14	submitted a report which came to the office on
15	the 18 th at 10:12 and in it they don't
16	necessarily specify Canal Road but they talk
17	about the project's completed within the GW
18	campus which is currently subject to a parking
19	cap of 4,080 spaces and has multiple
20	transportation and management strategies which
21	you alluded to, including shuttle.
22	And it goes on and basically what

they said at the end was similar to what Mr. 1 Mordfin said in his report that DDOT has no 2. 3 objections to this proposal. They don't see any significant impacts 4 on the proposed subject, the proposed project will maintain 5 the current number of parking spaces in the 6 7 area surrounding GW has residential parking permit restrictions. 8 9 And they go on to elaborate and inform us of that. I'm just trying to find 10 11 the correlation or the tie-in. MS. ZARTMAN: I think the tie-in 12 These facilities will 13 very direct. reasonably attract sizeable, one hopes, will 14 attract sizeable audiences. 15 There's a vehicle 16 available to limit the impact on the community. If DDOT is unaware of it or has 17 forgotten about the Prospect Street entrance 18 19 issue, I assure you the community hasn't. 20 I mean this was a very painful 21 At one point I mean Canal Road

entrance was proposed as clover leaves and fly

overs and tunnels and just terribly impactful 1 things, and we settled on the entrance that's 2. 3 now being built with the proviso that our neighbors on 35th Street would get some relief 4 from the traffic the university generates. 5 And I don't see how you can adopt new 6 7 facilities without taking that into consideration. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Any questions 10 Thank you Ms. Zartman. 11 colleagues for Ms. Zartman? COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Yes, thank 12 Thank you very much Ms. 13 vou Mr. Chair. Zartman for your testimony and for your many 14 15 years of work in this area and on other areas. I tend to share the Chair's concern about a 16 broad swathe of the observations made in your 17 testimony and I perhaps say that with some 18 19 chagrin because of course in my former set as 20 a BZA member I perhaps participated in some of the fact finding and some of the conditions or 21

lack thereof that are of concern.

1 So with that being said, however, I'm going to focus my questions on I think a 2 3 couple of issues that are germane, at least I feel, to the particular matter at hand. 4 And I will perhaps start with the 5 management plan 6 transportation because 7 believe you are correct as you indicated that the Grove Slade transportation report does 8 9 speak to use of the Canal Road entrance, and in particular does at page 15 reference the 10 11 proposed prohibition of visitor traffic. Visitor traffic 12 at the Prospect Street 13 entrance. So I just want to make sure I'm 14 15 clear on what the desire of CAG would be with 16 respect to that issue. You would prefer to 17 see all traffic routed through that Canal 18 entrance? 19 MS. ZARTMAN: Very much so. Ι 20 what we thought we were mean that was 21 bargaining for a dozen years ago when we went

through a terribly protracted process.

22

Ι

think more money was spent on engineering 1 consultants than will be spent on constructing 2. 3 the project but that often happens. And I COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: 4 5 apologize for not having the page reference handy, I'm looking through the report as I 6 7 There was specific reference to what speak. is proposed in terms of use of the Prospect 8 9 Street entrance and I believe it was those employees, 10 with university those with 11 university parking permits. Okay. And then all other traffic would go through the Canal 12 13 Street entrance. Visitors and MS. ZARTMAN: 14 15 commercial vehicles would go through Canal University vehicles and staff with 16 parking permits would go through Prospect 17 I think extrapolating from the old 18 Street. 19 highway studies, there was a suggestion that 20 the morning rush hour might diminish by 70

COMMISSIONER ETHERLY:

cars.

21

22

And do you

1	have a sense that the introduction potentially
2	of these three new structures, two of which
3	are contemplated, were originally
4	contemplated, one of which was not of course,
5	do you anticipate that those new structures
6	would simply exacerbate pressures on Prospect
7	Street?
8	MS. ZARTMAN: I think the people
9	who live there would tell you that.
10	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okay.
11	Let me move to the issue of the rooftop
12	structure and I pulled A3.02 in front of me
13	and just tried to kind of do a little bit of
14	the math just to make sure there's not perhaps
15	as wide a gulf as perhaps seems to be with
16	respect to the height of the rooftop
17	structure.
18	Now by my calculation from the
19	penthouse floor to the top of the roof, I'm
20	pulling a measurement of 41.38.
21	MS. ZARTMAN: That's the same
22	number that Mr. Mordfin had in his report.

1	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okay.
2	Now do you disagree with that number or is it
3	your
4	MS. ZARTMAN: It's a bigger
5	number than we were told. As matter of fact,
6	when I spoke at the Old Georgetown Board
7	meeting and mentioned that the penthouse was
8	proposed to be 31 feet, the chairman said well
9	that's something we don't have. We don't have
10	any scale drawings. So they were working from
11	literally a concept drawing. But there was
12	no measurement of how tall that wall would be
13	because of the drop off in the elevation of
14	the property.
15	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY:
16	Understood.
17	MS. ZARTMAN: It's simply that
18	page where the numbers are there, they're the
19	university's numbers, and even with a large
20	magnifying glass you can read the numbers.
21	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: You still
22	have to dig. No, this is true especially

1	for someone who's got his share of time in law
2	school going blind.
3	MS. ZARTMAN: We need one of
4	those math majors to come up.
5	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Indeed.
6	Well let me ask you this. You've heard
7	testimony that spoke to some of the rationale
8	and you indicate in your testimony to an
9	extent you feel that the lead certification
LO	while a laudable object might not necessarily
l1	simply be enough, especially given the height
12	that is pursued here.
13	But from the standpoint of the
14	specific use of the building, the programming
15	of the building, the need for the duct work,
16	the need for the ventilation to facilitate the
L7	lab use and everything that's going to go into
18	the new science building, does that still
19	leave you somewhat wanting if you will for a
20	rationale to support the relief?
21	MS. ZARTMAN: I mean
22	theoretically of course it makes perfect

1	sense.
2	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: But you
3	need to see more.
4	MS. ZARTMAN: But I see nothing
5	to indicate what the difference in cost would
6	be. Is it prohibitively different or is it
7	simply that it would be cheaper to do it this
8	way. Are there alternative designs that could
9	work that wouldn't have a 232-foot wall on the
10	campus.
11	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Okay.
12	Thank you. Thank Ms. Zartman, thank you Mr.
13	Chair.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other
15	questions colleagues? No questions. Ms.
16	Dwyer, do you have any questions?
17	MS. DWYER: I only have one
18	question really because as I stated previously
19	I think these are campus plan issue and not
20	project specific.
21	Ms. Zartman, you've referenced the
22	height of 232-feet of this building. Are you

1	not in fact referring to the elevation on that
2	drawing as opposed to
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I believe
4	she is. That's not the height. That's the
5	elevation.
6	MS. DWYER: Yes. Okay. I just
7	wanted to clarify that's an elevation that
8	you're referring to. The building is not 232
9	feet tall.
10	MS. ZARTMAN: It's the elevation
11	because you're measuring form below the level
12	at which you're establishing the base line for
13	the building.
14	MS. DWYER: All right. I just
15	wanted to clarify the building is not 232-feet
16	tall. That that's an elevation. And then
17	I'll just save my comments for my closing.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Does
19	the ANC have any cross exam?
20	MR. SOLOMON: No.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you
22	Ms. Zartman for taking the time.

MS. ZARTMAN: Thank you. 1 2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Dwyer, 3 if you want to close out with rebuttal. Your rebuttal and then your closing comments. 4 I don't believe we 5 MS. DWYER: have any rebuttal testimony so I'm just going 6 7 to give a brief closing statement. I want to state in response to the 8 9 testimony that you just heard from the Citizens Association of Georgetown that 10 I 11 believe the testimony really addresses campus plan issues. 12 13 These projects are not changing or affecting in any way the enrollment, the 14 15 same faculty and staff that currently access 16 the campus by whatever route they come, will continue to do so because we're not adding any 17 18 faculty or staff. And if Ms. Zartman or the 19 Citizens Association of Georgetown want 20 change any of the campus definitions or the

any of the off

something that

access

policies,

21

22

points or

that's

will

discussed and can be included in the next campus plan.

These three projects do not make any change whatsoever into the current plan conditions.

As you know, these projects have been extensively reviewed by the Office of Planning and the D.C. Department of Transportation, by the Old Georgetown Board and the Commission of Fine Arts, by the Advisory Neighborhood Commission.

As also the you are aware university has a quarterly meeting process in which it invites 12 community organizations to attend and review projects. There have been numerous opportunities for anyone community to find out whatever information they need to find out about these projects, and Ι think it is for that reason the extensive community outreach that the ANC voted to support all three projects.

We believe in the written

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

materials and in the testimony you heard this evening that all three projects meet the requirements for the special exception relief, and that the science building does in fact satisfy the requirements for the special exception and variance relief.

We agree to the two conditions that were discussed this evening, the one that is proposed by the Advisory Neighborhood Commission and the one that was proposed by the Office of Planning.

heard tonight You have how projects important these are to the In light of the fact that we come university. here with the full support of the organizations and the city agencies and with only testimony from one witness in opposition, we request a bench decision this evening and we also request a summary order, and that order would include the two conditions that we've agreed to as well as the flexibility to modify the design of the athletic training

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

facility as we continue through the Historic Preservation process.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me just ask a question Ms. Dwyer. Ms. Zartman brought up an issue, page 2 item 6, and I think this is and it's in the report, and she was exactly right, on page 15 of the traffic report, says visitor traffic is prohibited from using the Prospect Street entrance which feeds directly into the adjacent residential streets, the Canal Road entrance provides direct access to the south west quadrant's new underground parking structure.

Where did that come from? Why is it in this particular -- was this in the original campus plan?

MS. DWYER: That is in the original campus plan, yes. The south entrance is used not only for visitors but also for employees. If you would recall, perhaps Mr. Etherly, from the campus plan there is a division on the campus of access to

2.

1	parking facilities so that those who are using
2	the facilities in the northern half of the
3	campus use Reservoir Road and those employees
4	and staff using the facilities in the southern
5	part of the campus come in from Canal Road.
6	Prospect Street was limited in the campus plan
7	to preclude visitor traffic so that all
8	visitors are directed to come from either the
9	south entrance or Reservoir Road as a way of
10	reducing the impact on the residential
11	neighborhood. And obviously once the new
12	south entrance is fully operational, that
13	would further reduce the traffic in the
14	residential neighborhoods.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So there's no
16	change basically from the original campus
17	plan?
18	MS. DWYER: No change from the
19	original campus plan. That is correct.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And this is what
21	the community agreed to is what is stated
22	here?

1	MS. DWYER: That is correct.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All
3	right. Any other questions?
4	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: And Ms.
5	Dwyer, you would continue to stand by the
6	conclusion in the traffic study at page 10
7	which speaks to no change in traffic generated
8	or parking demand for undergraduate and full-
9	time graduate students as a result of these
10	three facilities.
11	MS. DWYER: That is correct.
12	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Thank you.
13	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.
15	Chair, I think we've got to be careful too.
16	I mean with all respect to Ms. Zartman about
17	student body counts, I don't think we're here
18	to argue that. And that's already been said.
19	I don't think we need to get into the weeds on
20	that.
20	that. And I just want it clarified for

1	was referring to as the height are really
2	elevation points. And those are either set
3	from city datum or they're set from some point
4	on campus which is reference point for all
5	their elevations.
6	So the height of the building I
7	think the university architect can tell us, I
8	think it's about 80 something feet or 92 and
9	then it's 41 to the penthouse. So we're
10	looking about 132 feet or something.
11	MS. DWYER: Mr. Turnbull, if I
12	can clarify that. The new science center has
13	a height of 66 feet to the roof and then the
14	41 feet for the penthouse, so the total height
15	is 107 feet 4 inches.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I
17	couldn't read that. I thought it looked like
18	an eight. But you're right. And it's a lot
19	less than what Ms. Zartman referenced. I
20	think she was confusing elevation points with
21	actual height dimensions.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you

Mr. Turnbull. Give me one second please.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay. Thank you everybody for their participation. I think we're ready to, we've hashed out enough so as far as deliberation I think the record will speak for itself. We are ready to, not discounting anyone in opposition, or we've dealt with what I think what was in our parameters. I know my issue was are our answers satisfactory.

And Ι would move approval οf Zoning Commission Case No. 07-23 with the addition of the two conditions, with also the campus plan amendment approval to transfer density for multi-sport facilities to building 1 of the athletic training facility for the process of the construction of a new athletic training facility, for the process and for the construction of a new science center building, for the processing for modification to approve multi-sports facility, special exception approval to reduce the setback of the roof structure and the variance from approval to

1	increase the height of the roof structure.
2	And I think that covers everything. If not,
3	somebody help me.
4	MS. DWYER: If I could add the
5	flexibility to modify the design of the
6	athletic training facility as we go through
7	OGB, CFA, so long as there's no increase in
8	density or height.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you
10	Ms. Dwyer, I would include that in my motion.
11	I'm trying to make sure that Okay. I would
12	approval, I mean that's my motion and I would
13	ask for a second.
14	COMMISSIONER ETHERLY: Second,
15	Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's moved and
17	seconded. Any further discussion? All those
18	in favor say aye.
19	(Chorus of Ayes)
20	Any opposition? So ordered.
21	Staff would you record the vote.
22	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff

1	records the vote 4-0-1 to approve Zoning
2	Commission Case No. 07-23, Commissioner Hood
3	moving, Commissioner Etherly seconding,
4	Commissioners Jeffries and Turnbull in favor,
5	Commissioner Parsons not present, not voting.
6	And I just want to confirm that a summary
7	order is okay in this case.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I had to
9	consult with my colleagues. Yes that's fine.
10	Okay. Summary order.
11	Let me thank everyone for their
12	participation whether you're a proponent or
13	opponent and I appreciate everyone coming down
14	and providing us good testimony. Thank you
15	and good night.
16	MS. DWYER: Thank you very much.
17	(Whereupon, the Public Hearing of
18	the Zoning Commission went off the record at
19	8:39 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	